Stereoselective Yang cyclizations of α-amido ketones

Axel G. Griesbeck,* Heike Heckroth and Johann Lex

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Cologne, Greinstr. 4, D-50939 Köln, Germany. E-mail: griesbeck@uni-koeln.de

Received (in Liverpool, UK) 4th March 1999, Accepted 29th April 1999

The photocyclization of methyl-substituted α -acetamido butyrophenone derivatives is highly stereoselective and leads to 2-aminocyclobutanols with complete control of three new stereogenic centers.

The term Yang cyclization describes the formation of cyclobutanols from 1-hydroxytetramethylene biradicals which are produced via photochemical γ -hydrogen abstraction.¹ In the course of this reaction up to eight diastereoisomers could be formed from one chiral substrate molecule. Three subsequent steps influence the chemo- and stereoselectivity of this reaction: (i) y-H abstraction, (ii) biradical dynamics and (iii) biradical combination vs. cleavage reaction. Triplet excited carbonyls give rise to triplet 1,4-biradicals and, consequently, the lifetime of these intermediates (100-1000 ns)² rises due to slow spin inversion processes (ISC). The y-H abstraction proceeds most likely through a six-membered chair-like transition state.³ Detailed mechanistic investigations have been performed by Wagner and co-workers which also included stereochemical probing.⁴ During our studies on photochemical transformations of enantiomerically pure α -amino acids (N-activation mode)⁵ we became interested in using Yang cyclizations as a simple tool for the synthesis of 2-aminocyclobutanols (C-activation mode). As substrates α -acetamido butyrophenone derivatives **1a**-**f** were synthesized from the corresponding amino acids by a three-step reaction protocol.6 The photochemical behaviour of these compounds was investigated by irradiation with light $\lambda >$ 320 nm in benzene solutions (Scheme 1). The tert-leucine derivative **1a** gave the cyclobutanol **2a** ($\Phi_{\rm C} = 0.11 \pm 0.02$) and the Norrish II fragmentation product **3** ($\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.08 \pm 0.02$).⁷ The Yang cyclization product was isolated in 45% yield as a single



Scheme 1 C/F = cyclization/fragmentation ratio.

diastereoisomer. The *tert*-leucine **1a** and the leucine derivative **1b**, respectively, were transformed into cyclobutanols with only one additional stereogenic center and thus solely show the influence of a given stereogenic center on a proximate radical center. In both cases, the *cis*-diastereoisomers were formed with ds > 96%.

Characteristic NMR shifts were observed for H-2 (δ 4.2–4.8) and the methyl groups in 2b which showed strong anisotropic effects ($\Delta \delta = 0.45$ ppm).⁸ Additional proof came from the Xray structure analysis for 2b (Fig. 1).† A reasonable explanation for the high 1,2-asymmetric induction might be an intramolecular hydrogen bond at the stage of the triplet 1,4-biradical which has already been described for Yang cyclizations of α ester-substituted ketones.9 The valine derivative 1c cyclized more efficiently ($\Phi_{\rm C} = 0.19 \pm 0.02$) and gave the cyclobutanol 2c in 74% yield with ds > 96%. The relative configuration was established via X-ray structure analysis.[†] Two explanations for the high 1,3-asymmetric induction are possible: selective γ -H abstraction from one of the diastereotopic methyl groups or non-selective y-H abstraction followed by selection at the biradical stage (radical combination vs. cleavage and/or hydrogen back transfer). Biradical dynamics most likely were responsible for the results obtained with the isoleucine and alloisoleucine derivatives (2S,3S)-1d and (2R,3S)-1d. Whereas the (2S,3S)-isomer gave exclusively the Norrish II cleavage product 3, (2R,3S)-1d cyclized efficiently to give the cyclobutanol 2d. The latter compound again was formed diastereoisomerically pure (*i.e.* ds > 96%) with complete control of the three newly formed stereogenic centers. X-Ray structure analysis completed the configuration analysis.†



Fig. 1 Crystal structures of the cyclobutanols 2b, 2c and 2d.

Finally, the unbranched substrates **2e** and **2f** (from norvaline and norleucine, respectively) were investigated. Both substrates preferentially showed fragmentation and, as a minor reaction path, formation of the cyclobutanols **2e,f** with excellent diastereoselectivity. Thus, the radical coupling step also proceeds with high *inherent* stereoselectivity in addition to the 1,2-*induced* stereoselectivity.

In the mechanistic scenario (vide supra) for the Yang cyclization process *induced* and *inherent* diastereoselectivity are related to two different steps: biradical dynamics and spin inversion coupled with radical-radical combination. This relation is depicted in Scheme 2 for substrate (2R,3S)-2d. After hydrogen abstraction from the more reactive methylene group, equilibration of the triplet 1,4-biradical leads to three possible conformers, *anti, syn* and *syn'*. The energies of these species were calculated using the PM3 method. The cyclization path is not available from the *anti*-isomer, however, this structure is less favourable compared with the *syn*-isomer. Hydrogen



Scheme 2 Mechanistic scenario for the photocyclization of (2R,3S)-1d.

bonding stabilizes all three possible conformers and is the major contribution to the high 1,2-asymmetric induction.

The reverse is the case for (2S,3S)-2d and fragmentation dominates the reaction due to the more stable triplet *anti* 1,4-biradical. This theory however, does not take into account the fact that the calculated biradical minima structures are not necessarily identical with the actual ISC-reactive structures. Spin–orbit coupling, the major contribution to triplet/singlet ISC, is higher for *syn*-biradicals¹⁰ and depends on the orientation of the p-orbitals localized at the spin-bearing carbon atoms.¹¹ An orthogonal orientation of these orbitals prior to C– C bond formation leads to a *trans* arrangement of the methyl at C-4 and the tolyl group at C-1 (inherent diastereoselectivity). Thus, the *induced* and *inherent* stereoselectivity of the Yang cyclization can be correlated with different selection stages of the reaction.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Universität zu Köln (postgraduate grant for H. H.)

Notes and references

† *Crystal data* for **2b**: C₁₅H₂₁NO₂·1/2H₂O (from MeOH), *M* = 256.3, monoclinic, *a* = 12.840(1), *b* = 18.077(1), *c* = 12.920(1) Å, *β* = 90.62(1)°, space group *C*2/*c*, Mo-Kα, 11448 reflections measured, 1748 reflections with *I* > 2σ(*I*), *R*₁ = 0.058, *wR*₂ = 0.117. For **2c**: C₁₄H₁₉NO₂ (from MeOH), *M* = 233.3, monoclinic, *a* = 7.459(1), *b* = 11.63(2), *c* = 15.652(3) Å, *β* = 102.67(2)°, space group *P*2₁/*c*, Mo-Kα, 2478 reflections measured, 1202 reflections with *I* > 2σ(*I*), *R*₁ = 0.064, *wR*₂ = 0.119. For **2d**: C₁₅H₂₁NO₂ (from MeOH), *M* = 247.33, orthorhombic, *a* = 7.546(1), *b* = 11.848(1), *c* = 15.712(1) Å, space group *P*2₁2₁2₁, Mo-Kα, 3096 reflections measured, 1942 reflections with *I* > 2σ(*I*), *R*₁ = 0.050, *wR*₂ = 0.099. CCDC 182/1248. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/1109/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

- 1 N. C. Yang and D.-D. H. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 2913.
- 2 J. C. Scaiano, Acc. Chem. Res., 1982, 15, 252; L. J. Johnston and J. C. Scaiano, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 521.
- 3 A. E. Dorigo, M. A. McCarrick, R. J. Loncharich and K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, **112**, 7508; R. R. Sauers and L. A. Edberg, J. Org. Chem., 1994, **59**, 7061.
- 4 P. J. Wagner and B.-S. Park, *Org. Photochem.*, 1991, **11**, 227; P. J. Wagner, in *Handbook of Photochemistry and Photobiology*, ed. W. M. Horspool and P.-S. Song, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995, p. 449.
- 5 A. G. Griesbeck, *Chimia*, 1998, **52**, 272; A. G. Griesbeck, *EPA Newsl.*, 1998, 3; A. G. Griesbeck, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1996, 1951.
- 6 O. Itoh, T. Honnami, A. Amano, K. Murata, Y. Koichi and T. Sugita, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 7334.
- 7 Quantum yields by actinometry (GC product analyses) using valerophenone as standard with $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.33$: P. J. Wagner and A. E. Kemppainen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, **94**, 7495.
- 8 F. D. Lewis and T. A. Hilliard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 3852.
- 9 T. Hasegawa, Y. Arata, M. Endoh and M. Yoshioka, *Tetrahedron*, 1985, 41, 1667; T. Hasegawa, Y. Arata and A. Kageyama, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, 24, 1995.
- 10 M. Klessinger, Pure Appl. Chem., 1997, 69, 773.
- 11 A. G. Griesbeck, S. Stadtmüller and H. Mauder, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1994, 27, 70.

Communication 9/01723B